



## Construction Contractor Performance Evaluation

Contractor	J.J.White	Contract Date		Project #	
Project	C12-2 bundle Replacement	Start Date	Jan 16-06	WO #	05-008761-002
Location	FCCU	End Date	Jan-20-06	SPO #	06-EL1201

Type of Contract: Lump Sum <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	Key Personnel: <span style="float: right;">Mike Sink</span> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
---	--

Description of Contract:

Remove and clean old bundle install new bundle and hydrotest with in a two(2) period on a lump sum basis

---



---



---

Contractor Forces	6	Average No	6	Peak No	6
Total Job Hours		Manual		Non-Manual	
Contract Value	30,000.00	Award		Final	

### Evaluation Standards

1-Unsatisfactory = Unacceptable Performance (Up to 155)	3-Average = Needs Some Improvement (310-465)
2-Marginal = Needs Considerable Improvement (156-309)	4-Good = Fulfills Normal Expectations (466-620)
2.5-NA (Not Applicable)	5-Outstanding = Exceeds Normal Expectations (621-775)

Category	Weight	Evaluation	Score	Comments
Jobsite Safety	9	4	36	
Jobsite Housekeeping	9	4	36	
Proactive Safety Programs	6	5	30	
Safety Audit Performance	6	5	30	
Quality of Pre-plans, JHA's, JSA's	6	5	30	
Management of Schedule	8	5	40	
Cost Control	6	5	30	
Adherence to Project Specs	6	4	24	
Adherence to Security Requirements	3	4	12	
Environmental Compliance	3	5	15	
Material Procurement	2	2.5	5	
Purchase Material Submittals	3	2.5	7.5	
Attitude: Safety Requirements	6	5	30	
Towards Change	3	5	15	
Proficiency of Project Management	3	5	15	
Proficiency of Field Supervision	5	5	25	
Proficiency of Craft Labor	4	4	16	
Productivity	8	5	40	
Coordination of Field Labor, Subs	5	4	20	
Materials and Equip	5	4	20	
Innovation/Problem Solving	3	5	15	
Adequacy of Equipment	3	4	12	
Home Office Cooperation	2	2.5	5	
Oral Communication	2	4	8	
Written Communication	2	4	8	
Submittal of Invoices	2	2.5	5	
Pricing and Processing Changes	2	4	8	

**Evaluation Standards**

1-Unsatisfactory = Unacceptable Performance (Up to 155)	3-Average = Needs Some Improvement (310-465)
2-Marginal = Needs Considerable Improvement (156-309)	4-Good = Fulfills Normal Expectations (466-620)
2.5-NA (Not Applicable)	5-Outstanding = Exceeds Normal Expectations (621-775)

Category	Weight	Evaluation	Score	Comments
Submittal of RFI's	1.5	2.5	3.75	
Response to RFI's	1.5	2.5	3.75	
Labor Relations	2	4	8	
Field Coop/Teamwork	2	4	8	
Quality of Workmanship	7	5	35	
QA/QC Program/Self Inspection	3	5	15	
Punch list Completion	2	2.5	5	
Interaction With Engineering	2	2.5	5	
Submittal Of As-Builts	2	2.5	5	
Technical Expertise	5	5	25	
Submittals of Bid: Timeliness	2	5	10	
Clarity	3	5	15	
<b>Contractor Evaluation Total Score</b>			<b>676</b>	

Is This Contractor Recommended for Future Work (Definitely/Marginally/No) Definitely

If no, describe the unsatisfactory performance:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

Comments:

This Crew performed evry aspect of this job with Safety, Quality and Quantity of work to the fullest extent

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

**Signatures**

Project Engineer/Manager: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Construction: Ed Martin Date: 1/19/2006

Contractor (Verification of Review): \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_